



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/540,343	10/06/1995	DENNIS E. HALLAHAN	ARCD: 194	8900
7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.			PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID	
600 Congress Avenue Suite 2400 Austin, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	08/540,343	HALLAHAN ET AL.			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott D. Priebe	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
Explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>8,10,11,13,15,18-27 and 35-55</u> .					
					Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:					
		Switt D. Priche			
		Scott D. Priebe Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments rely upon the declaration under R. 131 filed 6/23/04, which has not been considered as indicated under item 6. The arguments treat the invention defined by all the claims generally. However, the previous declaration filed 9/19/03 failed to provide evidence of conception of the invention defined by claims 35-55 directed to adenoviral vectors.